

2018 Cable Barrier Bareground Trial in Louisville

Introduction

Median cable barriers are designed to protect drivers from crossover accidents on interstates and highways. However, the vegetation under and adjacent to them must be managed for safety and aesthetics. Usually, this means using herbicides to maintain a vegetation free (bare ground) zone underneath the barriers. Broad-spectrum soil applied preemergence residual herbicides, in combination with a broad-spectrum post emergence herbicide like glyphosate, are the mainstay for maintaining these bare ground zones. However, there may be turf adjacent to the bare ground zone that should be maintained. Ideally, the residual herbicides will last all season long and not move off-site by leaching or erosion (movement of soil particles with adsorbed herbicide).

This trial was part of an ongoing effort to evaluate the vegetation control efficacy and desirable turf damage potential of a range of herbicide options when used for vegetation management under cable barriers.

Materials and Methods

The trial was established under and beside cable barrier with a mixed species turf underneath in the median of I-265 in Louisville, KY. The 18 treatments and 3 replications were arranged in a randomized complete block design. Treatments were applied at 25 gallons per acre onto 6.5 ft wide by 20 ft long plots on May 23, 2018. All herbicide treatments, except Roundup ProMax alone (Treatment 1) included Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v (Table 1a and 1b). Roundup ProMax (glyphosate) has no residual activity so other herbicides were included in the combinations with it to provide residual and pre-emergent control for the bare ground treatments. Different combinations also broadened the weed spectrum controlled and reduced the risk of developing problems with resistant weeds by using different Mechanisms of Action (MOA) groups (Table 1a and 1b). The trial included treatments which have been long term “standards” as well as newer products and combinations currently being used in KY. New treatments this year included one using Detail (saflufenacil) (Treatment 16) and one without glyphosate but controlling broadleaf weeds and suppressing grass growth behind guardrails (Treatment 17). Detail may be useful in areas with sensitive crops nearby as it is less persistent than other herbicides. It should be noted that the label calls for the use of MSO for accelerated burndown at 2 fl oz/ac in combination with glyphosate and Treatment 16 only used a NIS. The label also recommends the 6 fl oz/ac rate for residual control. This treatment combination will be included in next year’s trial.

The Louisville weather station reported 0.53 inches of rain over May 27 and 28 which would have activated the soil applied preemergence herbicide treatments. Additional rainfall was recorded from May 29 to June 1 (1.75 inches). These rainfall events may have contributed to the movement of some of the herbicides from where they were applied and damaged adjacent turf (Figures 1 to 5). Species present at application included flowering Buckhorn plantain (7 inch canopy), flowering tall fescue (24 inches to seedhead) plus Kentucky bluegrass which had mature seed heads (20 inches to seedhead).

Ratings of the proportion (%) of bare ground were taken 41 (7/3/2018) days after treatment (DAT) along with a rating of the extent of turf damage beyond the initial spray pattern, ranging from 0 (none) to 3 (severe). Visual assessments of the proportion (%) of bare ground, perennial grasses, annual grasses, and broadleaf weeds were taken 72 (8/3/2018), 119 (9/19/2018), and 153 (10/23/2017) DAT. The last rating was done after a hard freeze and many of the annual broadleaf plants, such as spurge, were killed. Data were analyzed using ARM software and treatment means were compared using Fisher's LSD at $p = 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

All the treatments with glyphosate (Treatments 1 to 16) had more bareground (35 to 100%) than those that did not (Treatments 15 and 16) (3 to 12%) 41 DAT (Tables 2a and 2b). Most of the treatments with soil active herbicides were in the top grouping (Treatments 2 to 14) (83 to 100%) except for Treatments 15 and 16 (35 to 75%). A number of treatments had turf damage consistent with movement of herbicides beyond the initial spray pattern (Tables 2a and 2b). Treatments with similar damage ratings included Sahara (Treatment 2), Hyvar (Treatment 3), Oust XP (Treatments 4 and 9), Perspective + Proclimax (Treatment 6), Streamline + Esplanade + Plateau (Treatment 10), and two treatments with imazapyr (Treatments 7 and 8) (Tables 2a and 2b).

While most of the trial site had a mix of tall fescue and Kentucky bluegrass there were areas with fine fescues and bermudagrass. Their non-uniform distribution increased the plot by plot variability with some treatments. By 72 DAT some treatments had less bareground as perennial grasses recovered and annual grasses (mostly yellow foxtail) and broadleaves (mostly prostrate spurge) colonized the space (Tables 3a and 3b). Treatments in the top group for bareground (70 to 98%) included Sahara (Treatment 2), Hyvar (Treatment 3), Perspective + Proclimax (Treatment 6), Viewpoint + Esplanade (Treatment 7), AC Polaris Complete (Treatment 8), Esplanade + Oust (Treatment 9), Streamline + Esplanade + Plateau (Treatment 10), Method + Esplanade (Treatment 13) and Milestone + Esplanade (Treatment 14). Treatments with little or no soil residual herbicides were not different from control (2 to 13%) and included Roundup ProMax by itself (Treatment 1), Detail (Treatment 16), and Method + Plateau (Treatment 17). This last treatment did not have glyphosate applied and had the greatest perennial grass cover.

Later in the season more treatments have greater annual grass and broadleaf cover. 119 DAT treatments in the top group with high % bareground (58 to 85%) included Hyvar (Treatment 3), Viewpoint + Esplanade (Treatment 7), Oust + Esplanade (Treatment 9), Streamline + Esplanade + Plateau (Treatment 10), Method + Esplanade (Treatment 13), and Milestone + Esplanade (Treatment 14) (Tables 4a and 4b). Most the other treatments were not different from control (0 to 33%) except for Esplanade + Oust Extra (Treatment 15) (42%). Control plots were dominated by annual teff grass (90% cover). Detail (Treatment 16) had removed most of the perennial grass and had the most yellow foxtail cover (43%) in the trial. The Cleantraxx treatments (11 and 12) did not have as much foxtail but did have the most prostrate spurge cover (69 to 72%) in the trial.

The last assessment, 153 DAT, was done after a hard freeze and many of the annuals were killed. The treatments with the greatest amount of bareground (60 to 88%) were the same as at the

previous rating with the addition of the Cleantraxx treatments (11 and 12) after the death of much of the spurge cover (Tables 5a and 5b).

The vegetation under the cable barrier in this location gave a good test of how well some of these bare ground herbicides can perform over a season and into the next year. The plots will be assessed in spring 2019. These trials will continue to provide information for roadside managers.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 1a. Herbicide Treatments, Active Ingredients, Application Rates, and Mechanism of Action (MOA) Groups for Cable Barrier Bareground Trial. (Part 1 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	Active Ingredient(s)	ai Rate (per acre)	MOA Groups
1	Roundup ProMax	1.3	QT/A	glyphosate	1.5 LB AE	9
2	Roundup ProMax Sahara	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	glyphosate diuron + imazapyr	1.5 LB AE 6.2 LB + 12.4 OZ	9 7 + 2
3	Roundup ProMax Hyvar	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	glyphosate bromacil	1.5 LB AE 8 LB	9 5
4	Roundup ProMax Oust XP	1.3 3	QT/A OZ/A	glyphosate sulfometuron	1.5 LB AE 2.3 OZ	9 2
5	Roundup ProMax Perspective Esplanade	1.3 9 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	glyphosate aminocyclopyrachlor + chlorsulfuron indaziflam	1.5 LB AE 3.6 OZ + 1.4 OZ 0.7 OZ	9 4 + 2 29
6	Roundup ProMax Perspective Proclipse	1.3 9 2.3	QT/A OZ/A LB/A	glyphosate aminocyclopyrachlor + chlorsulfuron prodiamine	1.5 LB AE 3.6 OZ + 1.4 OZ 1.5 LB	9 4 + 2 3
7	Roundup ProMax Viewpoint Esplanade	1.3 18 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	glyphosate aminocyclopyrachlor + imazapyr + metsulfuron indaziflam	1.5 LB AE 4.1 OZ + 5.7 OZ + 1.3 OZ 0.7 OZ	9 4 + 2 + 2 29
8	Roundup ProMax Polaris AC Complete	1.3 2	QT/A PT/A	glyphosate imazapyr	1.5 LB AE 16 OZ AE	9 2
9	Roundup ProMax Esplanade Oust XP	1.3 3.5 3	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	glyphosate indaziflam sulfometuron	1.5 LB AE 0.7 OZ 2.3 OZ	9 29 2
10	Roundup ProMax Streamline Esplanade Plateau	1.3 8 5 5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	glyphosate aminocyclopyrachlor + metsulfuron indaziflam imazapic	1.5 LB AE 3.2 OZ + 1 OZ 1 OZ 1.3 OZ AE	9 4 + 2 29 2
11	Rodeo Cleantraxx Milestone VM	1.5 3 7	QT/A PT/A FL OZ/A	glyphosate penoxsulam + oxyfluorfen aminopyralid	1.5 LB AE 0.5 OZ + 23.6 OZ 1.8 OZ AE	9 2 + 14 4
12	Rodeo Cleantraxx	1.5 4.5	QT/A PT/A	glyphosate penoxsulam + oxyfluorfen	1.5 LB AE 0.7 OZ + 35.4 OZ	9 2 + 14

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 1b. Herbicide Treatments, Active Ingredients, Application Rates, and Mechanism of Action (MOA) Groups for Cable Barrier Bareground Trial (Part 2 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	Active Ingredient(s)	ai Rate (per acre)	MOA Groups
13	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	glyphosate	1.5 LB AE	9
	Method	12	FL OZ/A	aminocyclopyrachlor	3 OZ AE	4
	Esplanade	5	FL OZ/A	indaziflam	1 OZ	29
14	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	glyphosate	1.5 LB AE	9
	Esplanade	6	FL OZ/A	indaziflam	1.3 OZ	29
	Milestone VM	7	FL OZ/A	aminopyralid	1.8 OZ AE	4
15	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	glyphosate	1.5 LB AE	9
	Esplanade	3.5	FL OZ/A	indaziflam	0.7 OZ	29
	Oust Extra	1.5	OZ/A	sulfometuron + metsulfuron	0.8 OZ + 0.2 OZ	2 + 2
16	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	glyphosate	1.5 LB AE	9
	Detail	2	FL OZ/A	saflufenacil	0.7 OZ	14
17	Method	12	FL OZ/A	aminocyclopyrachlor	3 OZ AE	4
	Plateau	3	FL OZ/A	imazapic	0.75 OZ AE	2
18	Nontreated Check					

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 2a. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 41 DAT¹ (July 3, 2018) (Part 1 of 2)

				% Bareground	Turf Damage (0-3) ³
Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	ate Unit	41 DAT	
1	Roundup ProMax	1.3	QT/A	70 c ²	0.0 c
2	Roundup ProMax Sahara	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	100 a	1.3 ab
3	Roundup ProMax Hyvar	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	100 a	1.3 ab
4	Roundup ProMax Oust XP	1.3 3	QT/A OZ/A	83 abc	1.0 abc
5	Roundup ProMax Perspective Esplanade	1.3 9 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	79 abc	0.3 bc
6	Roundup ProMax Perspective Proclipse	1.3 9 2.3	QT/A OZ/A LB/A	94 ab	0.7 abc
7	Roundup ProMax Viewpoint Esplanade	1.3 18 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	98 a	1.0 abc
8	Roundup ProMax Polaris AC Complete	1.3 2	QT/A PT/A	98 a	1.7 a
9	Roundup ProMax Esplanade Oust XP	1.3 3.5 3	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	99 a	1.7 a
10	Roundup ProMax Streamline Esplanade Plateau	1.3 8 5 5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	83 abc	0.7 abc
11	Rodeo Cleantraxx Milestone VM	1.5 3 7	QT/A PT/A FL OZ/A	97 a	0.0 c
12	Rodeo Cleantraxx	1.5 4.5	QT/A PT/A	98 a	0.0 c

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment ² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at P < 0.05.

³ Turf damage ranged from 0 (none) to 3 (severe)

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 2b. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 41 DAT¹ (July 3, 2018) (Part 2 of 2)

				% Bareground	Turf Damage (0-3) ³
Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	41 DAT	
13	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	91 ab ²	0.3 bc
	Method	12	FL OZ/A		
	Esplanade	5	FL OZ/A		
14	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	87 abc	0.0 c
	Esplanade	6	FL OZ/A		
	Milestone VM	7	FL OZ/A		
15	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	75 bc	0.3 bc
	Esplanade	3.5	FL OZ/A		
	Oust Extra	1.5	OZ/A		
16	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	35 d	0.0 c
	Detail	2	FL OZ/A		
17	Method	12	FL OZ/A	12 e	0.0 c
	Plateau	3	FL OZ/A		
18	Nontreated Check			3 e	0.0 c

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

³ Turf damage ranged from 0 (none) to 3 (severe)

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 3a. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 72 DAT¹ (August 3, 2018) (Part 1 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	72 DAT				
				% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves	% Spurge
1	Roundup ProMax	1.3	QT/A	12 ef ²	22 c	0 d	65 a	63 a
2	Roundup ProMax Sahara	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	97 a	1 d	0 d	2 fg	2 f
3	Roundup ProMax Hyvar	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	98 a	0 d	0 d	1 g	1 f
4	Roundup ProMax Oust XP	1.3 3	QT/A OZ/A	53 bcd	6 d	35 bc	6 fg	5 f
5	Roundup ProMax Perspective Esplanade	1.3 9 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	49 cd	5 d	45 ab	1 fg	1 f
6	Roundup ProMax Perspective Proclipse	1.3 9 2.3	QT/A OZ/A LB/A	75 abc	7 d	12 cd	6 fg	6 f
7	Roundup ProMax Viewpoint Esplanade	1.3 18 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	96 a	2 d	0 d	2 fg	2 f
8	Roundup ProMax Polaris AC Complete	1.3 2	QT/A PT/A	70 abc	4 d	0 d	26 de	24 de
9	Roundup ProMax Esplanade Oust XP	1.3 3.5 3	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	93 a	2 d	0 d	5 fg	1 f
10	Roundup ProMax Streamline Esplanade Plateau	1.3 8 5 5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	70 abc	2 d	26 bcd	2 fg	2 f
11	Rodeo Cleantraxx Milestone VM	1.5 3 7	QT/A PT/A FL OZ/A	33 de	7 d	0 d	60 ab	60 ab
12	Rodeo Cleantraxx	1.5 4.5	QT/A PT/A	55 bcd	5 d	0 d	40 cd	38 cd

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 3b. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 72 DAT¹ (August 3, 2018) (Part 2 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves	% Spurge
				72 DAT				
13	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	83 ab ²	3 d	11 cd	3 fg	3 f
	Method	12	FL OZ/A					
	Esplanade	5	FL OZ/A					
14	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	72 abc	4 d	11 cd	12 efg	12 ef
	Esplanade	6	FL OZ/A					
	Milestone VM	7	FL OZ/A					
15	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	53 bcd	2 d	35 bc	10 fg	5 f
	Esplanade	3.5	FL OZ/A					
	Oust Extra	1.5	OZ/A					
16	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	8 ef	37 b	8 cd	47 bc	47 bc
	Detail	2	FL OZ/A					
17	Method	12	FL OZ/A	13 ef	7 d	71 a	9 fg	6 f
	Plateau	3	FL OZ/A					
18	Nontreated Check			2 f	60 a	23 bcd	17 ef	10 ef

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 4a. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 119 DAT¹ (September 19, 2018) (Part 1 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	119 DAT					
				% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Yellow Foxtail	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves	% Spurge
1	Roundup ProMax	1.3	QT/A	5 e ²	35 c	25 b	0 d	60 ab	28 bcdef
2	Roundup ProMax Sahara	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	33 bcde	12 def	8 cde	0 d	55 abc	33 bc
3	Roundup ProMax Hyvar	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	60 abc	6 f	2 de	3 d	30 cde	29 bcde
4	Roundup ProMax Oust XP	1.3 3	QT/A OZ/A	22 de	28 cd	22 bc	37 abcd	13 def	9 cdefg
5	Roundup ProMax Perspective Esplanade	1.3 9 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	30 cde	12 def	12 bcde	57 ab	2 f	1 g
6	Roundup ProMax Perspective Proclipse	1.3 9 2.3	QT/A OZ/A LB/A	30 cde	25 cde	17 bcde	23 bcd	22 def	22 bcdefg
7	Roundup ProMax Viewpoint Esplanade	1.3 18 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	85 a	6 f	6 cde	2 d	7 def	7 cdefg
8	Roundup ProMax Polaris AC Complete	1.3 2	QT/A PT/A	20 de	20 cdef	13 bcde	0 d	60 ab	40 b
9	Roundup ProMax Esplanade Oust XP	1.3 3.5 3	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	83 a	7 f	7 cde	0 d	9 def	1 g
10	Roundup ProMax Streamline Esplanade Plateau	1.3 8 5 5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	58 abc	6 f	4 de	33 abcd	3 ef	3 efg
11	Rodeo Cleantraxx Milestone VM	1.5 3 7	QT/A PT/A FL OZ/A	9 de	12 def	10 bcde	0 d	78 a	72 a
12	Rodeo Cleantraxx	1.5 4.5	QT/A PT/A	18 de	10 ef	8 cde	0 d	72 a	69 a

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 4b. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 119 DAT¹ (September 19, 2018) (Part 2 of 2)

				% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Yellow Foxtail	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves	% Spurge
Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	119 DAT					
13	Rodeo Method Esplanade	1.5 12 5	QT/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	82 a ²	5 f	2 e	12 cd	2 f	1 g
14	Rodeo Esplanade Milestone VM	1.5 6 7	QT/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	67 ab	3 f	1 e	6 cd	24 def	24 bcdefg
15	Rodeo Esplanade Oust Extra	1.5 3.5 1.5	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	42 bcd	6 f	6 cde	43 abc	11 def	2 fg
16	Rodeo Detail	1.5 2	QT/A FL OZ/A	5 e	53 b	43 a	8 cd	33 bcd	32 bcd
17	Method Plateau	12 3	FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	3 e	20 cdef	8 cde	70 a	7 def	6 defg
18	Nontreated Check			0 e	90 a	18 bcd	3 d	7 def	7 cdefg

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 5a. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 153 DAT¹ (October 23, 2018) (Part 1 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves
				153 DAT			
1	Roundup ProMax	1.3	QT/A	38 bcde ²	50 b	0 d	12 cd
2	Roundup ProMax Sahara	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	38 bcde	12 cd	0 d	50 ab
3	Roundup ProMax Hyvar	1.3 10	QT/A LB/A	63 ab	8 cd	7 d	22 bcd
4	Roundup ProMax Oust XP	1.3 3	QT/A OZ/A	18 def	8 cd	52 ab	22 bcd
5	Roundup ProMax Perspective Esplanade	1.3 9 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	28 bcdef	7 cd	58 ab	3 d
6	Roundup ProMax Perspective Proclipse	1.3 9 2.3	QT/A OZ/A LB/A	35 bcdef	17 cd	28 bcd	20 cd
7	Roundup ProMax Viewpoint Esplanade	1.3 18 3.5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A	83 a	8 cd	5 d	3 d
8	Roundup ProMax Polaris AC Complete	1.3 2	QT/A PT/A	22 cdef	24 c	0 d	54 a
9	Roundup ProMax Esplanade Oust XP	1.3 3.5 3	QT/A FL OZ/A OZ/A	83 a	7 cd	1 d	9 cd
10	Roundup ProMax Streamline Esplanade Plateau	1.3 8 5 5	QT/A OZ/A FL OZ/A FL OZ/A	58 abc	6 cd	33 bcd	2 d
11	Rodeo Cleantraxx Milestone VM	1.5 3 7	QT/A PT/A FL OZ/A	82 a	4 cd	2 d	12 cd
12	Rodeo Cleantraxx	1.5 4.5	QT/A PT/A	60 ab	3 d	1 d	37 abc

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Table 5b. Results for Cable Barrier Trial 153 DAT¹ (October 23, 2018) (Part 2 of 2)

Trt. No.	Product Name	Rate	Rate Unit	153 DAT			
				% Bareground	% Annual Grass	% Perennial Grass	% Broadleaves
13	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	85 a ²	3 d	10 cd	2 d
	Method	12	FL OZ/A				
	Esplanade	5	FL OZ/A				
14	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	85 a	5 cd	10 cd	0 d
	Esplanade	6	FL OZ/A				
	Milestone VM	7	FL OZ/A				
15	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	40 bcd	3 d	51 abc	6 d
	Esplanade	3.5	FL OZ/A				
	Oust Extra	1.5	OZ/A				
16	Rodeo	1.5	QT/A	15 def	52 b	22 bcd	12 cd
	Detail	2	FL OZ/A				
17	Method	12	FL OZ/A	2 ef	13 cd	84 a	1 d
	Plateau	3	FL OZ/A				
18	Nontreated Check			0 f	88 a	2 d	10 cd

All herbicide treatments (except trt. #1) contained the adjuvant, Activator 90 at 0.25% v/v.

¹ DAT = Days after treatment

² Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different according to Fisher's LSD at $P < 0.05$.

Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Figure 1: View of Plots in the Cable Barrier Trial on July 3, 2018 (41 Days After Treatment)

Note evidence of movement of some of the herbicides from where they were applied with damage to adjacent turf. The white line indicates the initial spray pattern. Treatment 1 (only Roundup ProMax) is the treatment at the bottom of the photo.



Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Figure 2: View of Treatment 1 plot in the Cable Barrier Trial on July 3, 2018 (41 Days After Treatment)
Only Roundup ProMax was sprayed for this treatment and one can see the extent of the spray pattern.



Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Figure 3: View of Treatment 2 and 1 plots the Cable Barrier Trial on July 3, 2018 (41 Days After Treatment)
Treatment 2 (Roundup ProMax + Sahara) was sprayed on the plot in the foreground. Note the turf damage beyond the initial spray pattern as seen on the plot closer to the truck which only had Roundup ProMax applied.



Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Figure 4: View of Treatment 3, 2, and 1 Plots in the Cable Barrier Trial on July 3, 2018 (41 Days After Treatment)

Treatment 3 (Roundup ProMax + Hyvar) was sprayed on the plot in the foreground. The extent of the damage appears to be greater than the Treatment 2 plot. Note the turf damage beyond the initial spray pattern as seen on the plot closest to the truck, which only had Roundup ProMax applied.



Non-Crop and Invasive Vegetation Management Weed Science
2018 Annual Research Report

Figure 5: View of Treatment 4, 3, 2, and 1 Plots in the Cable Barrier Trial on July 3, 2018 (41 Days After Treatment)

Treatment 4 (Roundup ProMax + Oust) was sprayed on the plot in the foreground. Note the turf damage beyond the initial spray pattern as seen on the plot closest to the truck, which only had Roundup ProMax applied.

